

Defining relations for W-algebras from singular vectors

Zoltán Bajnok

February 1, 2008

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Roland Eötvös University, 1117 Budapest, Pázmány sétány 1/A, Hungary

Abstract

It is shown that the commutation relations of W-algebras can be recovered from the singular vectors of their simplest nontrivial, completely degenerate highest weight representation.

PACS: 11.25.Hf, Keywords: W-algebra, Toda theory

1 Introduction

In the paper [1] Hornfeck analysed the correspondence between the structure constants of a W-algebra and the weights of its distinguished completely degenerate highest weight (h.w.) representation: He supposed the existence of a W-primary field, Φ , such that the operator product expansion of Φ and any generator of the W-algebra, W^i , has the following form:

$$W^i \star \Phi = P_i(\partial^l \Phi, \partial^k W^j). \quad (1)$$

where on the r.h.s.. only normal ordered differential polynomials of Φ and the W-generators appear. Analysing the fulfilment of the Jacobi identity containing the W-modes and the modes of Φ he could determine the simplest nontrivial structure constants of the W-algebra. The extension of the procedure for larger class of the structure constants implies that in the consideration the modes of composite fields have to be included, which makes the computation involved. Moreover, no general theorem guarantees that the method works, i.e.. the solution exists.

In our previous paper, [2], we analysed the relation between the classical and quantum Toda models. We conjectured that at the quantum level – similarly to classical case – the structure of the highest weight representation of the W-algebra, corresponding to the quantization of the Toda field, determines the algebra itself. We called this representation the quantum defining representation since on one hand it is the representation obtained by carrying out the WZW→Toda reduction procedure in the defining representation of the affine algebra, see [3]. On the other hand its fusion rule reminds of the fusion rule of the defining representation of the underlying Lie algebra. In the terminology of Nahm [4] this is the representation which has the smallest

dimensional nontrivial special subspace (the dimension is equal to the dimension of the defining representation of the underlying Lie algebra) and the conjecture states that from the structure of this special subspace the defining relations of the W-algebra can be recovered.

In this paper, using the framework of vertex operator algebras, we prove that the structure of the representation mentioned above, which also has the property eq. (1) required by Hornfeck determines the algebra itself.

First we summarize the definitions and properties of vertex operator algebras tailormade for the applications, then we prove our statement using a lemma, which is placed in the Appendix. We give examples, which contain among others the Virasoro and the WA_2 algebra and finally conclude.

2 Vertex operator algebras

The W-algebras we are dealing with are essentially the WG-algebras, i.e. we suppose that the generating fields $W^j(z)$ have integer spins as: $j = 2 < n_1 \leq \dots \leq N$. In describing these W-algebras we use the framework of vertex operator algebras. A brief summary of their properties are given in order to fix the notation and collect the results needed. For a detailed description see [5, 6] and references therein.

A vertex operator algebra is an associative algebra generated by the modes of the W-fields: $W^j(z) =: \sum_n z^{-n-j} W_n^j$. Usually it is defined by means of its vacuum module. This module is obtained from the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ by successively applying the modes W_n^j . Each mode acts as a linear operator and

$$W_n^j |0\rangle = 0 \quad n \geq -j + 1.$$

holds. The modes of $W^2(z) =: L(z)$ are distinguished: L_{-1} generates translation, $e^{zL_{-1}} W_{-j}^j |0\rangle = W^j(z) |0\rangle$, consequently it acts as:

$$L_{-1} W_{-k}^j |0\rangle = (k - j + 1) W_{-k-1}^j |0\rangle \quad ; \quad [L_{-1}, W_{-k}^j] = (k - j + 1) W_{-k-1}^j. \quad (2)$$

L_0 corresponds to the Hamiltonian so it acts diagonally and defines the grading:

$$L_0 W_{-k}^j |0\rangle = k W_{-k}^j |0\rangle \quad ; \quad [L_0, W_{-k}^j] = k W_{-k}^j. \quad (3)$$

L_1 is the adjoint of L_{-1} and its action is given by:

$$L_1 W_{-k}^j |0\rangle = (j + k - 1) W_{-k+1}^j |0\rangle \quad ; \quad [L_1, W_{-k}^j] = (j + k - 1) W_{-k+1}^j. \quad (4)$$

These modes generate a subalgebra, which is isomorphic to sl_2 :

$$[L_0, L_{\pm}] = \mp L_{\pm} \quad ; \quad [L_1, L_{-1}] = 2L_0. \quad (5)$$

For the adjoint action W_{j-1}^j is a h.w. vector and W_{-j+1}^j is a lowest weight (l.w.) vector of a $2j - 1$ dimensional representation of sl_2 . Any mode from this representation space annihilates the vacuum.

To each vector of the vacuum module,

$$W_{-K}^J |0\rangle := W_{-k_1}^{j_1} W_{-k_2}^{j_2} \dots W_{-k_l}^{j_l} |0\rangle \quad ; \quad j_i \geq j_{i+1}, \quad k_i \geq k_{i+1} \quad \text{if } j_i = j_{i+1} \quad (6)$$

a field operator - and element of the vertex operator algebra - $\Phi^h(z) = \sum_n z^{-n-h} \Phi_n^h$, $h = \sum_i k_i = |K|$, is associated by the following rule:

$$e^{zL_{-1}} W_{-K}^J |0\rangle = \Phi^h(z) |0\rangle.$$

This operator can be written as a nested normal ordered product of the generating fields and their derivatives as:

$$\Phi^h(z) =: \frac{\partial^{n_1}}{n_1!} W^{j_1}(z) : \frac{\partial^{n_2}}{n_2!} W^{j_2}(z) : \dots : \frac{\partial^{n_{i-1}}}{n_{i-1}!} W^{j_{i-1}}(z) \frac{\partial^{n_i}}{n_i!} W^{j_i} ::$$

where $n_i = k_i - j_i$ and the normal ordering is defined as usual:

$$: \Phi^1(z) \Phi^2(z) : = \sum_n z^{-n-h_1-h_2} (: \Phi^1 \Phi^2 :)_n$$

and

$$(: \Phi^1 \Phi^2 :)_n = \sum_{k \leq -h_2} \Phi_k^2 \Phi_{-k+n}^1 + \sum_{k > -h_2} \Phi_{-k+n}^1 \Phi_k^2.$$

While the relations (2,3) extend for the field $\Phi^h(z)$ by the substitution $W \leftrightarrow \Phi$, $h \leftrightarrow j$, the relation (4) generally does not. (If it is also satisfied by the modes of a field, then the field is called quasi-primary). The modes Φ_k^h , $k = -h+1, \dots, h-1$ all annihilate the vacuum. They form a subalgebra of the vertex operator algebra, which is denoted by W_s . The negative modes which do not annihilate the vacuum Φ_k^h , $k \leq -h$ constitute another subalgebra of the vertex operator algebra: W_{--} .

The commutation relations of the modes of the fields are encoded in the singular terms of their operator product expansion:

$$\Phi^1(z) \Phi^2(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{h_1+h_2-1} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{-k}^{12}(w)}{(z-w)^{h_1+h_2-k}} + O(1) \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{-k}^{12}(z)|0\rangle = e^{zL_{-1}} \Phi_{h_1-k}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle$. Explicitly we have:

$$[\Phi_n^1, \Phi_m^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{h_1+h_2-1} \binom{h_1+n-1}{k} (\mathcal{O}_{-h_1-h_2+k+1}^{12})_{n+m} \quad (8)$$

It is important to observe that the operator product expansion of quasi-primary fields, $\Phi^1(z) \Phi^2(z)$, - and in this way the commutation relations of their modes - can be recovered from $\Phi_{-h_1+1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle$ and $\Phi_{h_1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle$. This can be achieved inductively by acting with L_1 on $\Phi_{-h_1+1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle$. For $k < 2h_1 - 1$ the result is:

$$L_1^k \Phi_{-h_1+1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle = \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^k (2h_1 - l) \right\} \Phi_{-h_1+k+1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle. \quad (9)$$

Similarly by acting successively with L_1 on $\Phi_{h_1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle$ we have:

$$L_1^k \Phi_{h_1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle = \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^k (-l) \right\} \Phi_{-h_1+k+1}^1 \Phi_{-h_2}^2 |0\rangle \quad (10)$$

A h.w. module for a vertex operator algebra is generated by acting successively with the modes W_k^j on the h.w. vector, $|h.w.\rangle$, using the commutation relations (8) and the following rules:

$$W_k^j|h.w.\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0 \quad ; \quad W_0^j|h.w.\rangle = w_j|h.w.\rangle. \quad (11)$$

We adopt the following ordering: the modes of the subalgebra W_s are on the right of the modes of W_{--} . In both subalgebra we use the same ordering rule as we used in the vacuum module, (6). This module may have singular vectors, vectors which are nontrivial elements of the module sharing the property (11) of the h.w. vector $|h.w.\rangle$. Since the norm of these vectors and their descendants are zero they are set to zero. This step also ensures the irreducibility of the representation of the vertex operator algebra. The factor space $W_s|h.w.\rangle/W_{--}|h.w.\rangle$ is of special interest, see Nahm [4] for details. For the vacuum module this factor space is very simple it is one dimensional. For the next simplest case, where this factor space has the smallest nontrivial dimension we formulate our statement.

3 Main result

Now the stage is set and we are ready to formulate our statement. The requirement demanded by Hornfeck ,(1), which is also satisfied by the quantum Toda representation, can be formulated as the factor space $W_s|h.w.\rangle/W_{--}|h.w.\rangle$ is finite dimensional and has basis of the form: $L_{-1}^k|h.w.\rangle$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. In more detail we suppose that:

$$W_{-k}^j|h.w.\rangle = \left(\sum_{\{J,K\}} \alpha_{J,K}^{j,k} W_{-K}^J \right) |h.w.\rangle \quad ; \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k < j; \quad 2 < j \leq N \quad (12)$$

where in $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ we allow $j_i = 1$ by introducing $W_{-1}^1 = L_{-1}$, in order to unify the notation and shorten the formula. The sum is over all J and K for which $1 \leq j_i \leq k_i$ and $|K| = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i = k$ hold. We also suppose that

$$W_{-N}^N|h.w.\rangle = \left(\sum_{\{J,K\}} \alpha_{J,K}^{N,N} W_{-K}^J \right) |h.w.\rangle \quad (13)$$

holds, which means that the factorspace is N dimensional. (Let us note that these relations are consequences of the singular vectors). Now we claim that after taking some assumptions on the coefficients, α , depending on the type of the W-algebra, (or equivalently, on the spins of the fields) the commutation relations of the generating fields, $[W_{k_1}^{j_1}, W_{k_2}^{j_2}]$, can be reproduced.

As a first step we show that the basis of this module have the form:

$$W_{-K}^J L_{-1}^k |h.w.\rangle \quad (14)$$

where $W_{-K}^J \in W_{--}$ and $k < N$. We proceed at each level from grade to grade. The level of W_{-K}^J is $|K|$ as usual and its grade is defined to be $g(W_{-K}^J) = |K| - |J|$. At the highest grades we have vectors with $J = \{j_1\}$, which is of the form (14) so the induction starts. A typical element of a h.w. module has the form $W_{-K}^J W_{-M}^L |h.w.\rangle$, where $W_{-K}^J \in W_{--}$ and $W_{-M}^L \in W_s$. When we transform this vector into the basis (14) we use the following steps: either we commute the operators into the right order, or we use the relation (12) in order to replace the modes of W_s with the modes of W_{--} and with L_{-1}^n , or we use the relation (13) in order to replace L_{-1}^n

for $n > N$ with the modes of W_{--} and with L_{-1}^k , where now $k < N$. From the relations (7,8) it follows, that the grade of any of the monoms appearing in the commutator of two modes is always greater than the sum of the grades. Moreover this also holds for the other steps, that is the grade is always increasing. Consequently the basis can be reached inductively.

In proving the statement we will determine $[W_{k_1}^{j_1}, W_{k_2}^{j_2}]$ by induction in $G = j_1 + j_2$; $j_1 < j_2$ and for fixed G in j_1 . We suppose that all the commutators $[W_{k_1}^{j_1}, W_{k_2}^{j_2}]$ are known for any $j_1 + j_2 < G$ and for $G = j_1 + j_2$ for any $j_1 < j$ and by means of this hypothesis we proceed either for G and $j_1 = j$ or for $G + 1 = 2 + (G - 1)$.

Since the generating fields are quasi primary fields it is enough to determine $(\mathcal{O}_{-j_1-j_2+1}^{j_1 j_2})_{-j_1-j_2+1}|0\rangle = W_{-j_1+1}^{j_1} W_{-j_2}^{j_2}|0\rangle$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{j_1-j_2}^{j_1 j_2})_{j_1-j_2}|0\rangle = W_{j_1}^{j_1} W_{-j_2}^{j_2}|0\rangle$.

We start with $\mathcal{O}_{-j_1-j_2+1}^{j_1 j_2}$. Consider the equation:

$$(\mathcal{O}_{-j_1-j_2+1}^{j_1 j_2})_{-j_1-j_2+1+k}|h.w.\rangle = [W_{-j_1+1}^{j_1}, W_{-j_2+k}^{j_2}]|h.w.\rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, j_1 + j_2 - 1 \quad (15)$$

which is a consequence of (8). On the r.h.s. of (15) we replace the commutator with the difference of the products and in each term we use the relation (12) in order to replace $W_{-j_2+k}^{j_2}$, $(W_{-j_1+1}^{j_1})$ with the modes of W_{--} and with L_{-1}^k for $k < N$. After the replacement the spin of the operators appearing is less than j_2 , (j_1) , consequently the induction hypothesis can be used to transform the product into the basis (14). Now we need a lemma. We prove in the appendix, that $\Phi_{-h+1}^h|h.w.\rangle$, $\Phi_{-h+2}^h|h.w.\rangle$, $\dots$, $\Phi_0^h|h.w.\rangle$ completely determines $\Phi^h(z)$. Applying the lemma for the present case the operator $\mathcal{O}_{-j_1-j_2+1}^{j_1 j_2}(z)$ is completely determined. By means of equation (9) the operators $\mathcal{O}_{-j_1-j_2+k+1}^{j_1 j_2}(z)$, $k = 0, \dots, 2j_1 - 2$ can be determined as well.

The next step is to compute $\mathcal{O}_{j_1-j_2}^{j_1 j_2} = W_{j_1}^{j_1} W_{-j_2}^{j_2}|0\rangle$. The strategy is the same as before. We consider the equation:

$$[W_{j_1}^{j_1}, W_{-j_2+k}^{j_2}]|h.w.\rangle \sim (\mathcal{O}_{j_1-j_2}^{j_1 j_2})_{j_1-j_2+k}|h.w.\rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, j_2 - j_1 \quad (16)$$

where by $\sim$ we mean that modulo the already known operators $\mathcal{O}_{-j_1-j_2+k+1}^{j_1 j_2}(z)$, $k = 0, \dots, 2j_1 - 2$. We proceed the same way and replace the commutator with the difference of the products and use the relation (12). This second step is slightly different from the previous one. Clearly for $j_1 = j_2$ (in the last induction step for fixed G) we need to compute $[W_{j_1}^{j_1}, W_{-j_1}^{j_1}]$ in order to determine $\mathcal{O}_0^{j_1 j_1}$. The problem is that we cannot use the lemma for this case. Note however, that the central term, $\mathcal{O}_0^{j_1 j_2}$, in the OPE of $W^j(z)W^j(w)$ contributes only in $[W_{j_1+k}^{j_1}, W_{-j_1-k}^{j_1}]$. This makes it possible to go further in the induction for the next $j_1' + j_2' = G' > G = 2j_1$. In computing $[W_{-j_1'}^{j_1'}, W_{-j_2'+k}^{j_2'}]$ and $[W_{j_1'}^{j_1'}, W_{-j_2'+k}^{j_2'}]$ for $j_1' < j_1$ the same way as before the central term $\mathcal{O}_0^{j_1 j_1}$ can contribute via terms like $(W_{-l}^k W_{j_1+n}^{j_1}) W_{-j_1-n}^{j_1}$ contained in the composite operator $:W^k(z)W^{j_1}(z):$. Since the composite operators appear in commutators, (where the sum of the spins is always decreasing) and k is at least 2 they do not contribute if $G' \leq G + 2$. We restrict ourselves to this case. This covers the WG-algebras from the infinite series. The exceptional cases has to be considered separately. We also make a difference depending on whether $G' = G + 1$ or $G' = G + 2$. In the first case we consider eq. (16) for j_1 and $j_2 = j_1 + 1$ with $k = 1$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{-1}^{j_1 j_2} = 0$ we can recover $\mathcal{O}_0^{j_1 j_1}$ if $\alpha_{j_1, j_1}^{j_1+1, j_1}$ is not zero. In the second case we have to consider eq. (16) for j_1 and $j_2 = j_1 + 2$ with $k = 1, 2$. We demand from the coefficients, α , that these two equation can be solved for the two unknowns: $\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{j_1 j_1+2}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{j_1 j_1+2}$. (Similar but more

complicated conditions has to be demanded in the exceptional cases). See also the example of $\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{24}$ in the next section. Having determined $\mathcal{O}_{j_1-j_2}^{j_1j_2}$ we use relation (10) to obtain $\mathcal{O}_{j_1-j_2+k}^{j_1j_2}$, with which the commutator $[W_{i_1}^{j_1}, W_{i_2}^{j_2}]$ is determined and the theorem is proved.

4 Examples

The induction procedure starts with $G = 2 + 2$, that is with the commutation relations of the modes of $L(z)$. Since this is the basic field of the vertex operator algebra in computing its commutation relation it is not necessary to use the method developed. However we follow the general scheme in order to see easier how to proceed in the general case. We start to compute $\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22}(z)$ or equivalently to compute $(\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22})_{-3}|0\rangle$. At level three we have two operators: $W_{-3}^3|0\rangle$ and $L_{-1}L_{-2}|0\rangle$, written in the quasi primary basis. From the relation

$$[L_{-1}, L_{-1}]|h.w.\rangle = (\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22})_{-2}|h.w.\rangle = 0$$

we realize that there is no $W_{-3}^3|0\rangle$ term. From

$$[L_{-1}, L_0]|h.w.\rangle = (\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22})_{-1}|h.w.\rangle = -L_{-1}|h.w.\rangle$$

we can fix $(\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22})_{-3}|0\rangle$ to be $L_{-1}L_{-2}|0\rangle$, which is also consistent with

$$[L_{-1}, L_1]|h.w.\rangle = (\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22})_0|h.w.\rangle = -2w_2|h.w.\rangle.$$

Now acting with L_1 on the relation

$$(\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{22})_{-3}|0\rangle = L_{-1}L_{-2}|0\rangle$$

we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{22})_{-2}|0\rangle = 2L_{-2}|0\rangle$$

and acting once more we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{-1}^{22})_{-1}|0\rangle = 0.$$

The next step is to compute $(\mathcal{O}_0^{22})_0$. As we explained in the general case this needs special care. We discuss the individual cases separately. If we are analysing the Virasoro algebra itself, then the relation (13) takes the following form:

$$L_{-2}|h.w.\rangle = \alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{22} L_{-1}L_{-1}|h.w.\rangle$$

Applying L_2 on both sides of the relation we can obtain:

$$(\mathcal{O}_0^{22})_0 = 6w_2\alpha_{\{(1,1),(1,1)\}}^{22} - 4w_2$$

Substituting the usual parameterization, $\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{22} = t$ and $w_2 = \frac{3}{4t} - \frac{1}{2}$ we recover $c = 13 - 6t - t^{-1}$.

If we are analysing some other W-algebra we distinguish whether the next spin is 3 or 4, i.e. $G = G + 1$ or $G = G + 2$ referring to the general case. In the first case we go on in the induction and compute $(\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{23})_{-4}|0\rangle$. The operators in the quasi primary basis at level four correspond to the

action of the following modes on the vacuum vector: $W_{-4}^4, L_{-2}L_{-2} - \frac{3}{10}L_{-1}^2L_{-2}, L_{-1}W_{-3}^3, L_{-1}^2L_{-2}$. From

$$[L_{-1}, W_{-2}^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{23})_{-3} = 0$$

it follows that we do not have any non-derivative field. The relation

$$[L_{-1}, W_{-1}^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{23})_{-2} = -W_{-2}^3$$

together with

$$[L_{-1}, W_0^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{23})_{-1} = -2W_{-1}^3 \quad ; \quad [L_{-1}, W_1^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{23})_0 = -3W_0^3$$

give $(\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{23})_{-4}|0\rangle = L_{-1}W_{-3}^3|0\rangle$. From this we can recover $(\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{23})_{-3}|0\rangle = 3W_{-3}^3|0\rangle$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{23})_{-2}|0\rangle = 0$, by applying L_1 . The next step is to compute $L_2W_{-3}^3|0\rangle = (\mathcal{O}_{-1}^{23})_{-1}|0\rangle$. Since there is no operator at level one this term is zero and the commutation relations of the modes of $L(z)$ and $W^3(z)$ are completely determined:

$$[L_n, W_m^3] = (2n - m)W_{n+m}^3. \quad (17)$$

Now L_2 can be applied to the relation

$$W_{-2}^3|h.w.\rangle = (\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32}L_{-2} + \alpha_{\{(1,1),(1,1)\}}^{32}L_{-1}L_{-1})|h.w.\rangle \quad (18)$$

in order to compute

$$\mathcal{O}_0^{22} = (\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32})^{-1}(6w_3 - 6\alpha_{\{(1,1),(1,1)\}}^{32}w_2) - 4w_2. \quad (19)$$

Either we are considering the $G = G+2$ case or some other W-algebra with spins $2, 3, 4, \dots$ the next step is to compute the commutation relations of the modes of $L(z)$ and $W^4(z)$. The determination of $(\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{24})_{-5}|0\rangle$ goes as before and gives $L_{-1}W_{-4}^4|0\rangle$. In order to determine $(\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{24})_{-2}|0\rangle = \beta L_{-2}|0\rangle$ we consider the relation

$$[L_2, W_{-3}^4] = (\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{24})_{-1} + 3(\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{24})_{-1} + 3(\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{24})_{-1} + (\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{24})_{-1} = 9W_{-1}^4 + \beta L_{-1}$$

and the relation

$$[L_2, W_{-2}^4] = (\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{24})_0 + 3(\mathcal{O}_{-4}^{24})_0 + 3(\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{24})_0 + (\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{24})_0 = 8W_0^4 + \beta L_0$$

simultaneously. We apply both commutators on the vector $|h.w.\rangle$ and apply the procedure introduced above. Denoting the central term $\mathcal{O}_0^{22}$ by γ we have the following equations:

$$\beta w_2 - \gamma \alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{42} = 6w_2 + \alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{42} - 8w_4$$

and

$$\beta - \gamma \alpha_{\{(2,2),(2,1)\}}^{43} = \alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{31}(-9 + 7\alpha_{\{(3,3)\}}^{43}) + 5\alpha_{\{(2,3)\}}^{43} + 4(w_2 + 1)\alpha_{\{(2,2),(2,1)\}}^{43} + 6(3w_2 + 1)\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{42}$$

If we have a W-algebra of type $2, 4, \dots$ then $\alpha_{\{(3,3)\}}^{43}$ is zero and we demand from the coefficients that these equations be soluble for β and γ . If we are analysing a W-algebra of type $2, 3, 4, \dots$ then γ is already known, (19), and any of the equations above can be used to determine β , the other gives relation among the coefficients.

Let us finish this part by computing the commutation relations $[W_n^3, W_m^3]$ which is the next one in the induction. Note also that this is the first place where the application of the general procedure is not formal. The relations (12) in our case are (18) and :

$$W_{-1}^3|h.w.\rangle = \alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{31}L_{-1}|h.w.\rangle \quad (20)$$

Using these relations we need to compute $(\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{33})_{-5}|0\rangle$. The operators at level five corresponds to the following modes: $W_{-5}^5, W_{-3}^3L_{-2}, L_{-1}W_{-4}^4, L_{-1}L_{-2}L_{-2}, L_{-1}^2W_{-3}^3, L_{-1}^3L_{-2}$. From the commutator

$$[W_{-2}^3, W_{-2}^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{33})_{-4} = 0$$

we can see that in the OPE there is no nonderivative field. We compute

$$[W_{-2}^3, W_{-1}^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{33})_{-3} =: \delta(L_{-1}W_{-4}^4)_{-3} + \kappa(L_{-1}L_{-2}L_{-2})_{-3}$$

by replacing the commutator with $W_{-2}^3W_{-1}^3 - W_{-1}^3W_{-2}^3$ and applying it on the vector $|h.w.\rangle$. We use relations (20,18) in order to replace the $W^3(z)$ modes with the Virasoro modes then we commute the W-modes through the Virasoro modes and use relations (20,18) once more. Finally the vector is written in the basis (14) as:

$$\{\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32}(-3W_{-3}^3 + (\alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{31} - 2\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32})L_{-3} - 2\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32}L_{-2}L_{-1}) - 2(\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32})^2L_{-1}^3\}|h.w.\rangle \quad (21)$$

Now using the inverse of the map φ defined in the appendix we obtain $\delta = 3\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32}/\alpha_{\{(3,3)\}}^{43}$ and $\kappa = \alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32}$. The computation of

$$[W_{-2}^3, W_0^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{33})_{-2} =: \delta(L_{-1}W_{-4}^4)_{-2} + \kappa(L_{-1}L_{-2}L_{-2})_{-2} + \mu(L_{-1}W_{-3}^3)_{-2}$$

follows the same line as the previous one and gives: $\mu = 2\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32} + \alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32} + (\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32})^{-1}(\delta\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{42} + 2\kappa h)$. An analogous way we can compute $[W_{-2}^3, W_1^3] = (\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{33})_{-1}$ and determine the coefficient of $L_{-1}^3L_{-2}$, which is

$$1/6(6\mu\alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{31} - 3(2h+1)\kappa - 3\alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{41}\delta + 6\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32}w_3 + \alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{31}(5\alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32} + 6\alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32}))$$

Now applying L_1 on the vector $(\mathcal{O}_{-5}^{33})_{-5}|0\rangle$ we can determine $(\mathcal{O}_{-k}^{33})_{-k}|0\rangle$; $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The computation of $(\mathcal{O}_0^{33})_0|0\rangle$ is a bit complicated but it is very similar to the determination of $(\mathcal{O}_0^{22})_0|0\rangle$.

Let us specify the results obtained for the WA_2 algebra. In this case we have an extra relation (13):

$$W_{-3}^3|h.w.\rangle = (\alpha_{\{(2,3)\}}^{33}L_{-3} + \alpha_{\{(2,2),(2,1)\}}^{33}L_{-2}L_{-1} + \alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{33}L_{-1}^3)|h.w.\rangle \quad (22)$$

which has to be used to replace L_{-1}^3 with the modes of W_{--} in (21). The highest weights of this representation can be parametrized as:

$$h = \frac{4}{3t^2} - 1 \quad ; \quad w_3 = -\frac{(5-3t^2)(4-3t^2)}{27t^3}$$

Substituting the following values for the coefficients of the singular vectors [7]:

$$\alpha_{\{(2,1)\}}^{31} = \left(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{5}{6t}\right) \quad ; \quad \alpha_{\{(2,2)\}}^{32} = \frac{2}{3t} \quad ; \quad \alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{32} = -t$$

$$\alpha_{\{(2,3)\}}^{33} = \frac{1}{6t} + \frac{t}{2} \quad ; \quad \alpha_{\{(2,2),(2,1)\}}^{33} = t \quad ; \quad \alpha_{\{(2,1),(2,1),(2,1)\}}^{33} = -t^3$$

we obtain $\delta = 0$, $\kappa = \frac{1}{3}$, $\mu = 0$ and the coefficient of $L_{-1}^3 L_2$ is $\frac{1}{6}(\frac{5}{3} - t^2 - t^{-2})$. They give the usual relations of the W-algebra [8], with $c = 2 - 24(t - t^{-1})^2$, which can be read off from (19), the only difference is that $W^3(z)$ is rescaled by the factor of $\sqrt{\frac{22+5c}{48}}$.

5 Conclusion

We have shown in this paper, that the commutation relations of some W-algebras can be reconstructed from the singular vectors of their special h.w. representation. We have supposed that the weights of this representation as well as the coefficients of the singular vectors are given. We have not addressed the question how to determine them, or whether they give consistent equations. A work is in progress to answer these questions.

Appendix

We would like to prove that $\Phi_{-h+1}^h|h.w.\rangle, \Phi_{-h+2}^h|h.w.\rangle, \dots, \Phi_0^h|h.w.\rangle$ completely determines $\Phi_{-h}^h|0\rangle$. From now on we suppress the spin of Φ , we write Φ_{-h} instead of Φ_{-h}^h . First we use the basis related to the action of the sl_2 algebra, (5), that is we write

$$\Phi_{-h}|0\rangle = (\Phi_{-h}^{(h)} + \text{ad}L_{-1}\Phi_{-h+1}^{(h-1)} + \dots + \text{ad}^k L_{-1}\Phi_{-h+k}^{(h-k)} + \dots)|0\rangle$$

where $\Phi^{(h-k)}(z)$ is defined such a way that $\text{ad}L_1\Phi^{(h-k)}(z) = 0$. The fields can be determined recursively as follows: First note that $L_1^n L_{-1}^n \Phi_{-h}|0\rangle = c(n, h)\Phi_{-h}|0\rangle$, where $c(n, h) = \prod_{k=1}^n k(2h + n - 1)$. Now we have:

$$\Phi_{-2}^{(2)}|0\rangle = c^{-1}(h-2, 2)L_1^{h-2}\Phi_{-h}|0\rangle$$

$$\Phi_{-3}^{(3)}|0\rangle = c^{-1}(h-3, 3)L_1^{h-3}(\Phi_{-h} - L_{-1}^{h-2}\Phi_{-2}^{(2)}|0\rangle)$$

and in general

$$\Phi_{-k}^{(k)}|0\rangle = c^{-1}(h-k, k)L_1^{h-k}(\Phi_{-h} - L_{-1}^{h-k+1}\Phi_{-k+1}^{(k-1)} - \dots - L_{-1}^{h-2}\Phi_{-2}^{(2)})|0\rangle$$

From the definition of the derivative of a field it follows, that

$$(\Phi_{-h}^{(h-k)}(z))_{-h+l} = 0 \quad ; \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad (23)$$

This shows that the first place where $\Phi^{(h-k)}(z)$ contributes is at level $h - k + 1$, consequently we have to determine it from $\Phi_{-h+k+1}|h.w.\rangle$. So we will determine these terms recursively from level to level: $\Phi^{(h)}(z)$ from $\Phi_{-h+1}|h.w.\rangle$, $\Phi^{(h-1)}(z)$ from $\Phi_{-h+2}|h.w.\rangle$, etc.. To prove this we introduce an ordering. We say that

$$W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-i_k}^{j_k}|0\rangle \prec W_{-m_1}^{l_1} \dots W_{-m_p}^{l_p}|0\rangle$$

if the level is smaller, $|I| < |M|$ or on the same level if the grade introduced earlier is smaller, $|I| - |J| < |L| - |M|$, or if they are on the same level and grade we use the lexicographical ordering, that is if $j_n > l_n$ for the first n in the row, or if $j_n = l_n$ for all n , then if $i_n < m_n$ for the first n in the row. Note that we have an analogous ordering in the module $|h.w.\rangle$, considering L_{-1} to be W_{-1}^1 .

Since we are interested in the operators from the factor space $W_{--}/L_{-1}W_{--}$ we need the explicit form for its basis. First we fix $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k)$. We distinguish between two cases: $j_{k-1} > j_k$ and $j_{k-1} = j_k$. In the first case at level N the basis can be written as $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$, where $\sum_l n_l = N$ and the n -s corresponding to same j -s are ordered. These vectors are mapped to the level $N+1$ space by ∂ . The kernel of ∂ is zero since it consists only of the constants. There is an invertible map from the image of ∂ to the following space spanned by the vectors of the form $(n_1, \dots, n_k + 1)$ explicitly $\partial(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mapsto (n_1, \dots, n_k + 1)$. The complement of this space is spanned by vectors of the form $(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}, 0)$, which gives the basis needed. In the case where $j_{k-1} = j_k$ the basis at level N looks like $(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}, n_k)$ where $n_{k-1} \leq n_k$ and $\sum_l n_l = N$. We have a map defined the same way as before $\partial(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mapsto (n_1, \dots, n_k + 1)$, however the complement looks like $(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}, n_{k-1})$, and gives the basis for $W_{--}/L_{-1}W_{--}$.

Now we define a map from $W_{--}/L_{-1}W_{--}$ to the h.w. module by:

$$v = W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-i_k}^{j_k} |0\rangle \mapsto \varphi(v) = \begin{cases} W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-j_{k-1}+1}^{j_{k-1}-1} |h.w.\rangle & \text{if } j_{k-1} > j_k \\ W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-2i_k+j_k}^{j_k} W_{-j_{k-1}+1}^{j_{k-1}-1} |h.w.\rangle & \text{if } j_{k-1} = j_k \end{cases}$$

The properties of the map is that if $u \prec v$ then $\varphi(u) \prec \varphi(v)$ moreover $\varphi(v)$ is the minimal element of the terms of $(W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-i_k}^{j_k})_{-\sum_l i_{l+1}} |h.w.\rangle$. It is also true that $g(\varphi(v)) = g(v)$.

In order to prove this we note that $\min(g([W_{i_1}^{j_1}, W_{i_2}^{j_2}])) > g(W_{i_1}^{j_1}) + g(W_{i_2}^{j_2})$ so if we are looking for the smaller grade terms we can suppose that the operators commute. Consequently we do not have to use the normal ordering we can simply write:

$$(W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-i_k}^{j_k})_{-\sum_{l=1} i_{l+1}} |h.w.\rangle = \sum_{N; |N|=|I|-1} c(N) W_{-n_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-n_k}^{j_k} |h.w.\rangle$$

which is exact modulo higher grade terms. Each term in the sum has the same degree, which is $g(W_{-i_1}^{j_1} \dots W_{-i_k}^{j_k}) - 1$. Clearly at least for one of the operators $n_l < j_l$, so this term has a negative degree. Using relation (12) terms of this type are replaced with terms of non-negative degree. This shows that the degree is always increasing by at least one. It is increasing exactly by one if for only one l the relation $n_l = i_l - 1$ holds and for all the others $n_l = i_l$. This is a consequence of the fact that each term which contains term with $j_l \leq n_l < i_l$ has zero $c(N)$ coefficient, see (23). Now it is easy to see that $g(\varphi(v)) = g(v)$ and that the minimal element in the ordering is exactly $\varphi(v)$, since in all the other cases some higher or never smaller ($j_l \geq j_k$) spin operator $(W_{-n_l}^{j_l})_{-j_{l+1}}$ is replaced by $W_{-j_{l+1}}^{j_l-1}$.

The advantage of the ordering defined above is that higher terms give contribution to higher vectors in the h.w. module. This makes possible to determine them recursively starting from the lower terms, or with other words the map φ can be inverted.

References

- [1] K. Hornfeck, Nucl. Phys. B411 (1994) 307.

- [2] Z. Bajnok, A new approach to the correlation functions of W-algebras, hep-th/9906185.
- [3] J. Balog, L. Fehér, P. Forgács, L. O' Raifeartaigh, A. Wipf, Ann. of Phys. 203 (1990) 76.
- [4] W. Nahm, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B8 (1994) 3693.
- [5] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Integrals of Motion and Quantum Groups, Proceedings C.I.M.E. 93, Montecatini, June 1993.
- [6] P. Goddard, Meromorphic conformal field theory, in: Proc. CIRM Conference on Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras (Luminy, July 1988), ed. V.G. Kac (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p.556
- [7] P. Bowcock, G.M.T. Watts, Phys. Lett. 297B (1992) 282.; Z. Bajnok, L. Palla, G. Takacs, Nucl. Phys. B385 (1992) 329.
- [8] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Theor. Math. Phys. 65 (1986) 1205.